

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

January 24, 1990

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Enclosed is another copy of the KLAS video. Currently, I am also trying to procure a copy of the Japanese UFO material, and if and when I get it, I'll pass on a copy to you. A picture is worth a thousand words...especially these ones!

Still don't know what's going on with David Winters and the Lazar meeting. I have contacted his number, and left msgs on his answering machine, but so far no reply. Something strange is going on here, and it may or may not be directly related to a large bout of paranoia. However, I'll let you know what happens when I get this matter cleared up with him...hopefully.

Nothing new from Azeldazzle, except for promises to mail me some B&W Phobos pics. Any luck on trying to get a BBS Sysop interested in having UFONET in Winnipeg? If you can supply me with some local Fidonet net/node numbers, I can send them the info file "UFONET.ZIP", which will tell them more about us. See if you can't get one of your computer friends onto this. Talk to you later.

Sincerely,



Tom Mickus

Port Royal Plantation
4 Stuart Place
Hilton Head, SC 29928
December 21, 1989

Dr. Henry Victorian
'Yerevan',
24 Prestwood Dv.,
Aspley Park,
Nottingham NG8 3LY,
England

Dear Dr. Victorian:

I have your very kind letter of November 16, 1989, and find it very interesting. It is too bad you were not able to take a photograph of the object or speak to some of the inhabitants which might have given you a better understanding of their circumstances. I would suggest that you correspond with Mr. William Steinman who undoubtedly knows all the public knowledge of such phenomena. I am sure that he can bring you up to date if you can supply him with the code.

His mailing address is:

15043 Rosalita Drive
LaMirada, CA 90638

Very truly yours,

Eric A. Walker

Eric A. Walker

EAW/djs

=====

<<UFONET>> Affiliated Bulletin Board Systems

=====

<<UFONET #>> Net/Node	Board Name Location	System Operator	Data Phone Remarks	Baud Hours
<<UFONET I>> (1:250/440)	THE CRUCIBLE Toronto, ON	Tom Mickus	416-237-1204 Canadian Hub	12/96 HST 24 Hrs
<<UFONET V>> (1:304/1)	Nexus Flagstaff, AZ	Linda Murphy	602-526-8025 American Hub	3/96 HST 24 Hrs
<<UFONET VI>> (1:147/66)	LATTICE~ Luther, OK	Rod Wilson	405-277-3603 14.4 HST	3/96 HST 24 Hrs
<<UFONET VII>> (1:103/503)	Astro-Net Costa Mesa, CA	David Rice	714-662-2294	3/24 24 Hrs
<<UFONET IX>> (1:139/414)	Radio Free Milwaukee River Hills, WI	Pete Porro	414-351-1823 414-352-6176	3/96 HST 24 Hrs
<<UFONET X>> (1:147/3)	The Continuum... Oklahoma City, OK	Paul Ellison	405-681-6323	3/24 24 Hrs
<<UFONET XI>> (1:147/28)	Eagle Patrol II Edmond, OK	Robert Lindenberger	405-340-1139	12/24 24 Hrs
<<UFONET XII>> (1:377/2)	Studio PC Port Richey, FL	Ralph LoBianco	813-862-8850	3/96 24 Hrs
<<UFONET XIII>> (1:291/3)	The Briefcase BBS Wichita, KS	Mike Holcomb	316-652-9202	3/24 24 Hrs
<<UFONET XIV>> (1:306/15)	Adventures Unlimited Ft. Collins, CO	Ray Randolph	303-493-8162	3/24 24 Hrs

Press Enter...

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

December 28, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Enclosed is another copy of the "UFOs: The Best Evidence" tape, which you will find is a better copy than the previous. Also Grant, there is the 3.5 inch diskette (360k format) with some new material on it. The files included are "LAZAR1.TXT" which includes some discussion (predominantly by Bob Klinn) of some of the Lazar material that was featured in the 9 segments, but didn't make it onto the 2-hr broadcast. "LAZAR2.TXT" is a transcript of some of this material from the "missing" segs. "LAZAR3.TXT" is a purported interview of Knapp by Chuck Harder of "Sun Radio". There is some other new files as well which I've also included on the diskette, but are not related to the Lazar material per ce.

The printed matter you requested, such as a copy of the Azadehdel text, the recent FOCUS, etc., will be coming in a mailing which will be sent out early next week. Also included will be the recent copy (relevant portions) of Bill Knell's "NY UFO Report". Apparently, Azadehdel and co. has given Knell the American "exclusive" (hmmm...does that mean we have the Canadian exclusive?? <smile>). Knell is also selling a larger packet of info, which I imagine is again from Azadehdel and friends. As Azadehdel and Knell are very close, this is not surprising. My estimate of Knell (between you and me) is that although he is a well-meaning individual, he's not very perceptive. The fact that he hangs around the crowd of English, Azadehdel, Keviczky, Ecker, etc., should say something. What binds them all together is an anti-Bill Moore stance.

Getting back to Lazar, I hope to procure those KVEG tapes within the next few weeks. I'll let you know for sure later, probably via telephone. BTW, Friedman has confirmed the Howe story regarding Lazar, which is interesting. Still, the jury is still out on Lazar, and it looks like we'll have to wait a few weeks yet before we can start making some judgements.

In closing, please check with Moore and find out what the deal is with Walker. Is this meeting going to happen or not? Talk to you again soon Grant.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

PayFAX From: Chris Rutkowski, Box 1918, Winnipeg, MB R3C 3R2 (204) 269-7553 (voice)
To: Tom Mickus, UFONET c/o (414) 351-2075

- Thanks for your letter. Thanks also for printing my request online. Hopefully, I'll be able to get enough cases for a proper analyses.

Incidentally, when the cases are finally analysed, although I have decided to send the results to a ufozine, I can send the info to you as well to be put into one of your BBS files. Grant Cameron and I are in close touch, and I have just printed off the data he dumped off your BBS onto his disks. I will be giving him the latest analysis of Manitoba cases for you to put in your files, as well.

Yes, I would like to see the 1989 NRC cases you have on hand. I have some of them, but you can never be sure you get all the data. Are they from both Meteor and Non-Meteor Files? I usually make it to Ottawa once every few years, so I'll miss the rest of the 1989 stuff when I get there this year.

Although I am not online myself, I'm current president of the RASC Winnipeg Centre, and we do get some E-Mail from other astronomers via members' computers. You can send me your NRC cases and other info by sending to the following BITNET/NETNORTH address:

CBROWN@CCM.UMANITOBA.CA

The user's name is Chris Brown, and he is aware that you may be sending some stuff.

Unfortunately, I only have access to a PayFAX, so receiving a FAX from you is rather problematic. Alternatively, you can send things via Grant, as well, but try the above first.

On a parallel topic, my online search through the NTIS Dissertations file did not turn up any Lazar with a Master's degree from MIT. This does not prove he didn't get one there, only that one wasn't listed.

My own feeling on the South African and Lazar material is one of caution. I think it's pretty clear now that ufology has been subjected to a disinformation plan by persons unknown, made very easy by eager and guillible UFO buffs who want to swallow it hook, line and sinker. I'm not going to doubt anybody's credentials or statements, but I'm extremely skeptical these days. I mean, isn't it a bit suspicious that new information on crash/retrievals comes out during the MJ-12 debate, the Moore "scandal" and the Lear revelations?

Thanks once again; hope to hear from you electronically, vocally or otherwise,

9 January, 1990

Chris Rutkowski

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

January 4, 1990

Chris Rutkowski
Box 1918
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C 3R2

Dear Chris,

Have received your recent letter request, soliciting UFO case information for an upcoming report you are publishing, and would like to co-operate as much as possible. For myself, I do very little field investigation, and am primarily occupied with running UFONET, an online BBS network which acts as a forum for other researchers work in the field of UFO study. Thus, I am unable to provide you with any information relating to cases which I myself have been investigating. Your recent form letter has been printed online however, and brought to the attention of other researchers who access us.

That said, I do come across a number of cases learned by way of second and third-hand sources. These include such things as newspaper accounts, conversations with other researchers, in addition to information provided by our online computer membership. Additionally, I also have all the NRC sighting reports for the first half of 1989 (uncensored), which you may want to include in your report, assuming you don't already have them. If you would like some copies of the latter, I can send them out to you quite easily.

In closing, I've included a few UFONET info cards for your own use, along with a recent printout of our nodes. Unfortunately, we don't have more connections in Canada currently, but I hope to rectify that situation before long. If at any future time, you would like to access us directly as a user, I would of course welcome someone of your calibre online. We have a number of UFO orientated msg bases, along with a file library of over 450 files, relating to all manner of UFO related subjects, with an emphasis on current material.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

November 24, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Enclosed is a xerox of the Walker signature as I mentioned in a previous letter (or was it a phone call?). It looks to me that it matches perfectly with what you already have.

Have you spoken with Azadehdel or Knapp? I speak with Azadehdel about once or twice a week, and he just got a computer and it looks like he will soon becoming online with us here on UFONET. I wish that you had more access to a computer, and then we could correspond a lot quicker and exchange info via the network. If you have any computer knowledgeable friends in Winnipeg, ask them to see if they can interest a local Sysop of a BBS to carry UFONET on their system. That way we could communicate without any long-distance charge, except for the host Sysop, which would be minimal for him because of the software he uses. Anyway, towards this end, I've enclosed some UFONET cards which you might want to distribute to either your computer friends or fellow UFologists who might be interested. We just got a new node in Texas, and the fellow is a USAF pilot.

Was also talking with Bill Badger at the Pentagon the other day. I still don't know what his angle is, but it may all be above board. He is only a captain in rank after all.

Getting some very interesting info on the May 7th, crash in Botswana, down in South Africa. There may be something to this after all, and if true, leaves Roswell in the dust. However it is still very early, and this whole affair may turn out to be just a lot of crap.

That's about it for now. Anything comes up on Walker inbetween the Dec. 10 date, let me know.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

COPY

now underway, we expect to be able to provide community-wide projects to train workers for a new industry. Similarly, workers in obsolescent industries will be retrained for productive jobs in new types of industries.

Our studies indicate that in many complex and rapidly developing fields, the education acquired in college becomes outdated within five years. We expect to have programmed annual courses that will keep professional people abreast of their current educational needs. Some of this course work will be available at learning centers where a mature student can schedule his studies at his convenience on computers or video tape machines. Through a network of educational television stations, which would include the University's own WPSX, any person in the Commonwealth with access to a television set will be able to tune in on lectures originating at University Park or, for that matter, anywhere in the world.

The technology for these and many other developments is already here, waiting to be applied to the education of our citizens.

During six of my years as President of Penn State, I also served as a member of the National Science Board, and for the last two years I was its chairman. One cannot help but be enormously impressed with the progress made in scientific discovery in this period. Yet I became increasingly concerned because of the lack of any systematic programs to apply this new knowledge to the improvement of the quality of living. I am still concerned, and I think that during the next ten years we will become as intent on using our new knowledge for man's betterment as we have been to discover it in the first place. Penn State expects to share fully in the discovery as well as the application. We have the technology now, if we could only apply it, to reduce the appalling highway death toll. We have the knowledge needed to solve the growing problems of air and water pollution, of sewage and solid-waste disposal, if only we could put it to use in coordinated attacks on these problems. We have the computing machines to set up a system of medical diagnosis that would free the physician from much of the time-consuming process of finding out what is wrong with a sick person before prescribing treatment. Somewhere in the pool of knowledge in the philosophical, psychological, political, and social sciences may be locked the secret of amity among races and nations. Hopefully, we may find the key by 1976.

Eric A. Walker

Eric A. Walker
President

COPY

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

October 17, 1989

Dr. Eric Walker
222A Hammond Building
University Park, Pennsylvania
16802

COPY

Dear Dr. Walker,

Thank you for your most recent response on September 11th, of "No Comment". It is encouraging to see that progress is being made towards getting to the truth of the matter.

In addition, I am happy to learn that the elderly gentleman has a sense of humour. That is one of the secrets of long life, and I am confident that you will be gracing us with your presence for some time yet to come.

Now as to the content of your response, I have pondered long and hard over it. When people say "no comment", it usually indicates one of several things:

- A) They are engaged with legal proceedings on the subject in question, and don't wish to jeopardize themselves. ✓
- B) The subject is an embarrassing one for them, thus they don't wish to speak about it. ✓
- C) The subject is a sensitive one, and thus are not privy to speaking openly about it. ✓

or

- D) For reasons not expressed above, they simply don't want to talk about it. ✓

If I may be so bold, which do you feel applies to your particular situation? Would you likewise give the same response of "no comment" to a NYT reporter? ✓ Surely you must know that such a reply instead of diffusing the situation, only serves to fuel the fires of speculation. ✓

Again sir, if there is substance to these allegations, I would appeal to you to come forward and at least inform the public in a general way about what the government really knows on this subject of UFOs. If not the government, then at least tell us your own story. As a character in a just published novel on the subject says, "People have a right to know. They had a right to know forty years ago." ✓

why?

What have you got to lose?

COPY

In closing, I wish you would come clean on this subject. It is the height of presumption for me to question your motives in light of your past service to your country. If you are keeping this a secret, then you surely must have good reasons for it. ✓ However, how long will this dark secret be kept from the eyes of the public? Is the justification for secrecy still there after 40 years? ✓ Its time to come out of the wilderness, and into the promised land, wouldn't you agree? I would ask that you be courageous one more time. - what?

In closing, I hope that both you and your wife are in good health. In addition, I hope your place in Hilton Head was spared the wrath of Hugo as it passed through South Carolina. It was certainly a devastating hurricane.

— sorry I will be in Russia.
Lastly, I will be down your way around the 2 week of November, on the 13th and 14th. If you would like to have a private meeting to discuss this matter once and for all, I would be most willing to have it. Let me know if this will be acceptable. I promise to be quick and to the point, so no need to worry about me interfering with your busy schedule.

Kind Regards,


Tom Mickus

Voice: (416) 239-1094

Data: (416) 237-1204

FAX: (414) 351-2075

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

January 3, 1990

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Enclosed is another diskette with more Lazar transcripts!
Evidently some poor soul spent much of their holiday break transcribing the Lazar material, both from KLAS (TV) and KVEG (Radio). It looks like it was Bob Klinn.

The new LAZAR files (some I may have already sent you), are numbers LAZAR4.TXT -> LAZAR8.TXT. As these purport to be the verbatim transcripts of Lazar from KVEG, it may be unnecessary to get a hold of the audio tapes, although I realize that there is a qualitative difference in the 2 formats. If you still want the tapes, let me know, and I may still be able to get them on the cheap.

Also enclosed is some of the recent relevant material from Bill Knell's latest "NY UFO Report". I think you will find it interesting.

Also, as promised, is a copy of Bill Moore's last edition of "FOCUS" magazine.

If I've forgotten anything, let me know, and I'll of course send it out to you.

Regarding Lazar, I've been told that he may be going "underground" for a while. Perhaps this is only a vacation or something, I don't know, since at this point its only a rumour. He could be going to Los Alamos (where I believe his separated wife lives), or he could perhaps be going with the Wendelle Stevens group, who as you know, has tried to entice Lazar to work with their group. Stevens has some money of course, and I've even heard that he's offered Lazar part of the proceeds from a book on the subject. Hmm.

Lastly, if you have any info on Dulce (other than whats commonly known, ie. Dulce papers, etc.), I'd be interested to hear about it. I may be going down to the U.S. southwest for a few weeks sometime in early summer, so I'm assembling some background info.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

October 31, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Here is the latest offering from Dr. Walker. I think its one of the most significant yet, at least in terms of what I've received.

Pay close attention to the check-marks, they seem to indicate "Yes" responses from him. The "Why?" remark is also highly reminiscent of some of his earlier msgs. By all accounts, he seems to be unaware, nor perhaps concerned, with my exchanges with you. In this letter I detect a much more friendly tone. In some ways I almost feel a guilty about reporting his remarks like I've done, in the sense that I'm in a way, betraying his trust. Mind you, he hasn't really told me anything explicitly, although he certainly has told us many things already through his actions. Anyway, feel free to use any portions of this in your updated report.

A few other details about the letter. He returned the original to me, and seems to have replied to it almost immediately. Enclosed with the letter was a flyer advertising this biography about him. Have you heard about this?? It may have been in your report, so I might have missed it. Anyways, I will go ahead and order it immediately, just for my own interest. Perhaps there are some clues there, that he is in a covert way trying to lead me to. We shall see.

As you can see, he will be in "Russia" around the time of the upcoming "Omega Conference", so it looks like I won't be travelling to Penn State then. His remark does seem to leave the door open for a subsequent visit afterwards. Perhaps I should pursue that further in another letter. Any ideas as to what tact I should take?

Finally, I am sending you this letter the same day I have received Walker's post, so that you can get it right away. As I will be travelling to North Haven in less than 2 wks, is there anything you want me to pass onto any of the conference speakers or attendees? For example, I will try to speak to Moore, and perhaps my mentioning you might be a proper way of introduction. Let me know what you think as soon as you can, as I will be leaving on the 10th.

Sincerely,



Tom Mickus

No comment-

The elderly gentleman,

per 5, 1989

Dr. Eric Wa
222-A Hammc
University
16802

Dear Dr. Walker,

As of this writing, I have not received any reply back from you with regard to my first letter, sent on 8/16/89. Perhaps for some reason you didn't receive it. Assuming that this is the case, I've enclosed copies of some of the original material I sent to you, along with the initial letter from me to you. Please read them over, and comment on the examples listed.

As you may recall, I originally sent you this material at your request, in lieu of myself travelling down to Pennsylvania, and meeting you in person. We discussed this during our phone conversation on the morning of 8/11/89. At the time I may have been over zealous in my questioning, but such is a vice we reporters must engage in from time to time, and if I offended you then, I apologize. Again, my interest in all of this is that of ascertaining the truth with regard to the accusations levelled against you with regard to your reputed involvement with UFO analysis. I'm sure this matter will not die down until there are some direct answers from yourself in response to these charges. Without any serious rebuttal from yourself, there is nothing to stop the rumours and hearsay from multiplying, and masquerading as fact.

If by chance, there is some basis to the claims, then I would appeal to you to make the facts known. Nobody is asking you to reveal military secrets, nor your work record at the IDA, but rather some of the details surrounding the UFO crash which you and others had some role in analyzing close to 40 years ago. I know that you are an elderly gentleman, and not much time remaining on this planet, but I would ask that for humanity's sake, you make the truth be known, before it follows you to the grave. This, despite your distinguished past of achievements and service, may turn out to be your greatest legacy. It is vitally important that you do so, please believe me.

Sincerely,



Tom Mickus

Note: The following is a transcript of a phone conversation between Tom Mickus and Dr. Eric Walker which took place at approximately 10:30am on the morning of August 11, 1989. One word of caution, a transcript of course is not the same as hearing it first-hand. Tone and emphases of speech are not reproduced here, nor are things such as pauses between responses recorded. Therefore those who read the following should be aware of such deficiencies before coming to any conclusions.

<SECRETARY> "Good morning, Dr. Walker's office."

<MICKUS> "Yes, would Dr. Walker be in?"

<SECRETARY> "Yes he is, may I tell him who's calling?"

<MICKUS> "Yes, this is Tom Mickus phoning, I called yesterday."

<SECRETARY> "Tom Mickus?"

<MICKUS> "Yes."

<SECRETARY> "Okay, and what company are you with please?"

<MICKUS> "Actually I'm a university student."

<SECRETARY> "Okay, just a minute please."

<MICKUS> "Thank you."

(20 second pause)

<WALKER> "Hello?"

<MICKUS> "Hello, Mr. Walker?"

<WALKER> "Yep."

<MICKUS> "Yeah, my name is, allow me to introduce myself, my name is Tom Mickus, I'm a university student here in Toronto, at the University of Toronto."

<WALKER> "Yep."

<MICKUS> "And I'm phoning from Toronto right now. I'm also a reporter with a computer BBS network. And just recently, actually two days ago, we came into possession of a just released research paper. It was put out by researchers Grant Cameron and T. Scott Crain. You may be familiar with them? The subject matter is quite controversial. The report itself is 180 pages and approximately 80 pages deal with yourself and some of the reputed statements that you've made, etc. And I'm just wondering if you'd be interested in making a comment about the veracity of some of those statements."

<WALKER> "I'm not sure I know what you're talking about."

<WALKER> "Tell me a little bit more."

<MICKUS> "Yeah, do you remember corresponding with someone by the name of William Steinman?"

<WALKER> "No."

<MICKUS> "...from California."

<WALKER> "No."

<MICKUS> "Or Scott Crain, he supposedly lives close to you there in Pennsylvania?"

<WALKER> "You know, I know nothing about this. I'm... Have you got the right Walker, that's the problem <slight laugh>."

<MICKUS> "Well, you're the former President of Penn State University?"

<WALKER> "That's correct."

<MICKUS> "Yes, and you do have a very distinguished background I might say, just from some of the material that's been released. So, I guess assuming this is the right Walker, apparently you've admitted to in the past when dealing with some of these gentlemen, if you maybe forget them (now), about attending Research & Development Board meetings in the early 50's, back when you were a dollar-a-year man. Is that substantially correct?"

<WALKER> "Well I, huh <slight laugh>, the early 50's you know is many years ago and I attended a lot of meetings. Which one was this supposed to be?"

<MICKUS> "I believe this was centered at the Wright-Patterson. Apparently it was to deal with, sensational as it is, crashed flying vehicles of some sort, UFOs if you want to say that. And, like I say, I know its many years ago, but apparently in a phone conversation with William Steinman, he has it down that you admitted attending some of those meetings. Indeed, it was the late Robert Sarbacher actually pointed you out as the person who attended all of those meetings. Now I know that's some 40 yrs ago now. Did you have any comment on that?"

<WALKER> "(garbled)...well I did attend a lot of meetings, but I can't remember any substantive conclusions or anything like that."

<MICKUS> "Right, and as far as what was discussed there?"

<WALKER> "As far as the what?"

<MICKUS> "What was discussed, the topic, the subject matter of those meetings?"

<WALKER> "No, I couldn't remember that at all."

<MICKUS> "But, you're saying that it had nothing to do with UFOs or alien bodies, or anything like this?"

<WALKER> "Well I don't have any alien bodies in my office, I can tell you that."

<MICKUS> "Well, its quite extraordinary that this paper has come out, right now its in limited circulation and due to the computer

network that I'm associa
copy. And, like I say, approximately 80 pages deals with
material centered around yourself..."

<WALKER> "Hmm."

<MICKUS> "...and, I'm just interested if you'd be interested in seeing some of this, if I could come down and meet you for instance? And you could see some of this stuff first-hand, and comment on it."

<WALKER> "Well, I don't think that would be very useful; you might want to send it to me, and I'll see if it reminds me of anything."

<MICKUS> "Un huh. I guess being, ..from my own disposition here with the Network and stuff, I'd be interested in trying to get a reaction about some of this because..if these authors have engaged in a bit of a fantasy, well then I would like to expose that."

<WALKER> "What's the Network, I don't understand."

<MICKUS> "Its a fairly modest undertaking, but its a group of Bulletin Board Systems linked up here in Canada and in the United States, and that's something which I run, and this subject matter is one of the things we primarily discuss. And so, that's why I'd take the time to talk with you, and come visit you if you wouldn't mind, and get a more in-depth response."

<WALKER> "Well, you know <slight laugh>, this sounds to me like an awful waste of time and...if people want to diddle around that way, I say let them diddle, but don't involve me."

<MICKUS> "Right..."

<WALKER> "I just don't have time for such crap."

<MICKUS> "Now, just the fact that they spent so much time in this paper...like I say, the paper is 180 pages, the title is 'UFOs, MJ-12 AND THE GOVERNMENT', and...I mean would you have any reaction as to why they would place you in that context?"

<WALKER> "Well I'll tell ya, I'll get interested when I see one of those little green men they talk about. Until they do, I just couldn't spend any time on it."

<MICKUS> "So, therefore you deny ever having any relationship whatsoever in your earlier government dealings with the discussion of UFOs or alien bodies, anything like that, you deny that was part of the Research & Development Board meetings and subsequent activities that you may have been involved with?"

<WALKER> "<slight laugh> You know, you're just wasting your time and money, and I'm sorry but I've got other things to do."

<MICKUS> "Okay, but if I could just get an on the record comment, response from you on that, because I don't know, I think you may be hearing more about this because if this thing gets in wide circulation you may have other people knocking on your door trying to get a reaction."

<WALKER> "I don't have any reaction, I'm sorry."

<MICKUS> "Do you deny it?"

Update 2 Nov.4,89

In Tom Mickus's Oct 31,89 letter to me he asked, " Any idea what tact I should take?" After having read the Walker-Mickus letter a number of times I will pass on my thoughts for what they are worth.

Finally we have a piece of evidence that seems more information than disinformation. Tom Mickus assumed that the check marks made on his Oct 17,89 letter to Dr. Walker represent affirmatives. I agree and can see no other explanation.

Walker answers nine issues raised by Mickus and in my opinion answers a number of questions long held by Ufologists. As with the vast majority of Walker replies he denies nothing. In fact, this time he seems to deal directly with the questions at hand. More importantly, he deals with the issue of secrecy.

By questioning Why? to people's right to know, Walker seems to be clearly stating that the people do not have a right to know. Therefore UFOs do not seem to fall into the area of basic research which Dr. Walker has written in defense of. They also do not seem to fall into the area of government control, as this area is legally open to people thro' FOIA.

Walker adds an affirmative to the fact (page2) that there is a good reason for the secrecy. At this point I think it is important to note the openness of the reply. Walker's actions almost seem to imply that there is a law protecting those involved, and there is no need for guilt on his part about the cover-up.

Also at this point, I think it is important to note that Dr. Walker used Vantage Press to publish his autobiopgrahy. Vantage Press is often refered to as vanity press, as it is usually a publisher of last resort for those wishing to see their material in print. In discussions with Bill Moore, Bill stated that these books are usually ignored by bookstores and libraries.

More significant is the fact that Walker sent us an application to buy his book. Surely having won the Horatio Alger award and having pensions from IDA and Penn State he has enough money that he does not have to flog his book for the money. The reason must be something else.

If Walker is struggling not to be pinned down on the issue of UFOs, would he have provided the " enemy " with a book outlining his government connections? By providing the book he surely knows that he is helping us.

The book may be an indirect method of helping us get his background correct, or it may provide us with a clue to his involvement into UFOs as Tom Mickus assumed possible. The action definitely does state " Look at me. I'm Dr. Walker, and this is what I've done."

The book itself is the Horatio Alger story of rags to riches, or nothing to success. Dr. Walker had to write it himself, and had to go to Vantage Press, both indicators that he wishes to witness the recognition, rather than hoping that someone will produce a biograghy after his death.

Is Dr. Walker concerned about his place in history? Why does

he have book signing sessions as Scott Crain has reported are taking place at State College? Does Walker feel that his extensive contributions to engineering and government service should have gotten him a bigger write-up such as that given to Killian, Compton, or Bush? Perhaps we are dealing with an ego that is often found in the field of Ufology?

Tom Mickus expressed some concern that the upcoming meeting between the contractor and Walker would involve some of his material, and thus place him in a position of betraying Dr. Walker's trust. Scott Crain and myself discussed this same thing many times as this game has dragged on. It should be noted here that Scott and I were very concerned about what Dr. Walker's reaction would be when Mickus sent both Crain and Steinman material to Walker. At this point Walker would know that both researchers had been in contact, and that they were hanging on his every word. What was Walker's reaction to finding out that there was a unified effort to get him to talk? He sent a copy of a Steinman letter to Crain.

Scott Crain and myself figured that Walker would fight to protect his hard fought reputation. Why then does he continue to correspond when a) he undoubtedly knows it is being carefully documented b) he must realize that he is being drawn into an upcoming storm which will place him at the center.

Consider the fact that Walker answered in the affirmative to Mickus's statement that the "no comment" statement "only serves to fuel the fires of speculation." He therefore does not want to diffuse the situation. The \$64,000 question is Why?

I assumed by Dr. Walker's blaze reaction to the fact that he was the focus of a circulating paper to mean that our case against him was weak. It appeared that even without reading the paper Dr. Walker knew he knew it contained no bombshells. However, the Oct. 24, 89 notations seem to indicate that there is more to it than that. As Scott and I discussed when we first saw the "alien letter" Walker seemed to be acting like someone who wishes to be caught, or like someone who feels that he is so superior that he can't be caught. Why else would he initial the "alien letter."

The answers provided by Dr. Walker this time seem consistent with the concept that this is a military secret, therefore the public does not have the necessary "need to know."

I noticed in Tom Mickus's interview and letters to Walker that he bends over backwards to tell Walker what an important and busy guy he is. Perhaps this is why Mickus has met with some degree of success. The Oct. 17, 89 letter according to Mickus was returned almost immediately. Not only does he get a response but Walker is prompt about it.

Dr. Walker still writes a column for the local paper from time to time. He still holds down positions at Penn State and the IDA. He must therefore still believe that he has something to contribute. Tom Mickus has dealt with Walker in this light and DR. Walker seems to have responded to it.

Update 2

In Tom Mickus's Oct 31,89 letter to me he asked, " Any idea what tact I should take?" After having read the Walker-Mickus letter a number of times I will pass on my thoughts for what they are worth.

Finally we have a piece of evidence that seems more information than disinformation. Tom Mickus assumed that the check marks made on his Oct 17,89 letter to Dr. Walker represent affirmatives. I agree and can see no other explanation.

Walker answers nine issues raised by Mickus and in my opinion answers a number of questions long held by Ufologists. As with the vast majority of Walker replies he denies nothing. In fact, this time he seems to deal directly with the questions at hand. More importantly, he deals with the issue of secrecy.

By questioning Why? to people's right to know, Walker seems to be clearly stating that the people do not have a right to know. Therefore UFOs do not seem to fall into the area of basic research which Dr. Walker has written in defense of. They also do not seem to fall into the area of government control, as this area is legally open to people thro' FOIA.

Walker adds an affirmative to the fact (page2) that there is a good reason for the secrecy. At this point I think it is important to note the openness of the reply. Walker's actions almost seem to imply that there is a law protecting those involved, and there is no need for guilt on his part about the cover-up.

Also at this point, I think it is important to note that DR. Walker used Vantage Press to publish his autobiopgrahy. Vantage Press is often refered to as vanity press, as it is usually a publisher of last resort for those wishing to see their material in print. In discussions with Bill Moore, Bill stated that these books are usually ignored by bookstores and libraries.

More significant is the fact that Walker sent us an application to buy his book. Surely having won the Horatio Alger award and having pensions from IDA and Penn State he has enough money that he does not have to flog his book for the money. The reason must be something else.

If Walker is struggling not to be pinned down on the issue of UFOs, would he have provided the " enemy " with a book outlining his government connections. By providing the book he surely knows that he is helping us.

The book may be an indirect method of helping us get his background correct, or it may provide us with a clue to his involvement into UFOs as Tom Mickus assumed possible. The action definitely does state " Look at me. I'm Dr. Walker, and this is what I've done."

The book itself is the Horatio Alger story of rags to riches, or nothing to success. Dr. Walker had to write it himself, and had to go to Vantage Press, both indicators that he wishes to witness the recognition, rather than hoping that someone will produce a biography after his death.

Is Dr. Walker concerned about his place in history? Why does

he have book signing sessions as Scott Crain has reported are taking place at State College? Does Walker feel that his extensive contributions to engineering and government service should have gotten him a bigger write-up such as that given to Killian, Compton, or Bush? Perhaps we are dealing with an ego that is often found in the field of Ufology?

Tom Mickus expressed some concern that the upcoming meeting between the contractor and Walker would involve some of his material, and thus place him in a position of betraying Dr. Walker's trust. Scott Crain and myself discussed this same thing many times as this game has dragged on. It should be noted here that Scott and I were very concerned about what Dr. Walker's reaction would be when Mickus sent both Crain and Steinman material to Walker. At this point Walker would know that both researchers had been in contact, and that they were hanging on his every word. What was Walker's reaction to finding out that there was a unified effort to get him to talk? He sent a copy of a Steinman letter to Crain.

Scott Crain and myself figured that Walker would fight to protect his hard fought reputation. Why then does he continue to correspond when a) he undoubtedly knows it is being carefully documented b) he must realize that he is being drawn into an upcoming storm which will place him at the center.

Consider the fact that Walker answered in the affirmative to Mickus's statement that the "no comment" statement "only serves to fuel the fires of speculation." He therefore does not want to diffuse the situation. The \$64,000 question is Why?

I assumed by Dr. Walker's blaze reaction to to the fact that he was the focus of a circulating paper to mean that our case against him was weak. It appeared that even without reading the paper Dr. Walkler knew he knew it contained no bombshells. However, the Oct. 24, 89 notations seem to indicate that there is more to it than that. As Scott and I discussed when we first saw the "alien letter" Walker seemed to be acting like someone who wishes to be caught, or like someone who feels that he is so superior that he can't be caught. Why else would he initial the "alien letter."

The answers provided by Dr. Walker this time seem consistant with the concept that this is a military secret, therefore the public does not have the nessessary "need to know."

I noticed in Tom Mickus's interview and letters to Walker that he bends over backwards to tell Walkler what an important and busy guy he is. Perhaps this is why Mickus has met with some degree of success. The Oct. 17, 89 letter according to Mickus was returned almost immediatly. Not only does he get a response but Walker is prompt about it.

Dr. Walker still writes a column for the local paper from time to time. He still holds down positions at Penn State and the IDA. He must therefore still believe that he has something to contribute. Tom Mickus has dealt with Walker in this light and DR. Walker seems to have responded to it.

NOW IT'S MY TURN

**Engineering
My Way**

COPY

Eric A. Walker

\$17.95

NOW IT'S MY TURN
Engineering My Way

by **Eric A. Walker**

Although crossing the Canadian border under the not-too-watchful eyes of a sympathetic guard may not be the first ingredient in most people's idea of a menu for success, it seems to have worked okay for Eric A. Walker. From such humble beginnings, Dr. Walker went on to study engineering at Harvard, moved on to important defense work during the Second World War, served on numerous academic committees and financial boards, and finally became president of Pennsylvania State University, a position he held from 1956 until 1970.

Of course, it would surprise no one to learn that *Now It's My Turn: Engineering My Way* is an autobiography, but Dr. Walker seems to be much more at home talking about other people than about himself, and this is what makes the book so readable. While he came into contact with numerous important figures in the worlds of academe, government, and industry—many of whom are given informative profiles—Dr. Walker doesn't forget to honor the people from his boyhood and developmental years, the people you don't get to read about in other history books: like the boss at the iron foundry who bombed his employees' makeshift latrine on the banks of the Susquehanna; his mysterious roommate at Harvard, who dressed like a dandy and drove a Packard, but who returned to the obscure mining town from which he'd come after deciding that higher education was not all it's cracked up to be; or the restaurant worker whose jelly-bean ice cream was such a success he thought he'd try radish and onion varieties.

Vantage Press, Inc.
516 West 34th St., New York, N.Y. 10001

COPY

ORDER WITH THIS COUPON

VANTAGE PRESS, INC.
516 West 34th Street, N.Y., N.Y. 10001

Please send me _____ copies of

NOW IT'S MY TURN
Engineering My Way

At \$17.95/copy plus \$1.50 postage & handling

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Check Enclosed Visa MasterCard

Card # _____ Exp. Date _____

Signature _____


N. Y. State residents please add sales tax.

College of Engineering
222A Hammond Building
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

PENNSSTATE




PRESORTED
FIRST CLASS



Mr. Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

PRESORTED
FIRST CLASS



COPY

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

October 25, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Thank you for your most recent letters Grant, and for keeping me apprised of developments. It's unfortunate about what's happened with Vidmar and Co., but I guess that type of response is to be expected from some of these weak-kneed publications. I spoke to Vidmar myself about a month or so ago, and stressed at that time that they should at the very least confront Walker on this story. The fact that he has done nothing after all this time doesn't speak well for Vidmar's reputation. Hopefully you'll meet with some success with the Philadelphia Inquirer. As that is Scott's stompin' grounds, it seems to me that you're going to have to rely on him to try and generate some interest regarding local coverage. I don't think anything that comes out of Saskatoon is going to frighten Walker, but perhaps AP or someone similar will pick up on it. You should get in touch with Fenwick, as he has people approaching him all the time on this, such as CKO etc. Perhaps Larry could direct some inquiries your way, assuming you don't mind becoming a UFO celebrity...

After a month's delay, I've decided to respond to Walker's "response" of Sept. 11th. Enclosed is a copy of the letter. I didn't spend a lot of time on it, so it may not be as polished as I would like. It worked last time, so it can't hurt to try a similar tact again. Walker will have enough time to respond and mail me a reply before I head out to the Omega Conference in North Haven, Conn. If it's positive, I'll make a detour on the way back via State College and "visit" with him. I may do it regardless, it'll depend on how things go. What have I got to lose? Scott Crain has sent me a letter inviting me to stay overnight at his place if I so choose, which was very thoughtful of him. I will be responding to him and stating basically the same position with regard to any foray into Pennsylvania.

Recently at the Robarts U of T Library, I came across 2 Penn State Reports. One was from 1970, and the other was the 1966 Annual Report. The latter had Walker's signature, and I believe it was identical to one of the large ones which you reproduced in your report. It looked like it came off of an inking stamp. If you want a photo-copy, I can arrange to send you one. Other than that, there was nothing relevant in the reports other than a mention of the Naval Ordnance Research Lab.

Just taped last night an interesting program off of PBS' NOVA series. Its got some nice post-war historical footage with Vannevar Bush, etc. Haven't seen it all yet, but does discuss the whole issue of the science community co-operating with the military. If anything significant pops up while viewing, I'll be sure to let you know.

I saw the Larry King Live program as well, and it wasn't half bad. I know Don Ecker (the UFO "expert"). He's hooked up with Vicki Cooper of UFO Mag, and is heavily into the mutilations angle. He's a bit of a controversial figure though, and one of the times I met him in Vegas, he was in a drunken stupor on the casino floor. Oh well, that's Vegas for yah. If you could send me some copies of the Buchanan MacArthur enclosures, I would appreciate that as I'd like to have a first-hand look, and see within what context the statements were uttered. Your letter to Buchanan was probably an astute move. Buchanan isn't really much of an intellectual, but I could see him getting interested in a subject like this. He was pretty fair-minded about it during his hosting of the CNN segment. Thank God Larry King had the night off!

With regard to MUFON, hopefully there will be something in the next issue. As Scott is a State Section Director, you would think he would have some influence in at least getting an article in. Hopefully Andrus is still warm to the idea.

As far as the Canadian Goose goes, seems like you may have something there afterall. It sounds too good to be true, but you never can tell. Hopefully you'll get some results on that end. If I can be of any assistance, let me know. Ottawa is in my neck of the woods.

One last thing for now Grant, UFORIC Director Lorne Goldfeather is up to his ears with publicity, phonecalls, and media interviews. You should give him a call to see if he can drum up some interest for you on the Walker angle. Vancouver would seem to be a little more promising than Saskatoon <grin>. His "hotline" number is 604-685-1836. His private line number is 604-685-4528. I'm trying to get a UFONET BBS connection setup in Vancouver so that we can interface a lot better. If you want me to send some xeroxed material on UFORIC, I can. Finally, if you have any more info on the CUFOS Roswell case, let me know if you can. See you soon.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

UFONET FAX HOTLINE -->> 414-351-2075 (Milwaukee)

TOP SECRET
UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE
DATE 5/1/2004

October 17, 1999

Dr. Eric Walker
222A Hammond Building
University Park, Pennsylvania
16802

Dear Dr. Walker,

Thank you for your most recent response in response to my "No Comment". It is encouraging to see that progress is being made towards getting to the truth of the matter.

In addition, I am happy to learn that the subject matter has a sense of humour. There is one of the secrets of long life, and I am confident that you will be speaking as with our presence for some time yet to come.

Now as to the content of your response, I have pondered long and hard over it. When people say "no comment", it usually indicates one of several things:

- A) They are engaged with legal proceedings in the subject in question, and don't wish to incriminate themselves.
 - B) The subject is an embarrassing one for them, thus they don't wish to speak about it.
 - C) The subject is a sensitive one, and thus are not open to speaking openly about it.
- or
- D) For reasons not expressed above, they simply don't want to talk about it.

If I may be so bold, which do you feel applies to your particular situation? Would you likewise give the same response of "no comment" to a NYT reporter? Surely you must know what such a reply instead of diffusing the speculation, only serves to fuel the fires of speculation.

Again sir, if there is substance to these allegations, I would appeal to you to come forward and at least inform the public in a general way about what the government really knows of this subject of UFOs. If not the government, then at least tell us what you know. As a character in a just published novel of the subject says "people have a right to know. They had a right to know 'about this stuff'."

What have you got to lose?

In closing, I wish you would come clean on this subject. It is the height of presumption for me to question your motives in light of your past service to your country. If you are keeping this a secret, then you surely must have good reasons for it. However, how long will this dark secret be kept from the eyes of the public? Is the justification for secrecy still there after 40 years? Its time to come out of the wilderness, and into the promised land, wouldn't you agree? I would ask that you be courageous one more time.

In closing, I hope that both you and your wife are in good health. In addition, I hope your place in Hilton Head was spared the wrath of Hugo as it passed through South Carolina. It was certainly a devastating hurricane.

Lastly, I will be down your way around the 2 week of November, on the 13th and 14th. If you would like to have a private meeting to discuss this matter once and for all, I would be most willing to have it. Let me know if this will be acceptable. I promise to be quick and to the point, so no need to worry about me interfering with your busy schedule.

Kind Regards,

Tom Mickus

Voice: (416) 239-1094
Data: (416) 237-1204
FAX: (414) 351-2075

THE CRUCIBLE <<UFONET I>> BBS

Tom Mickus
P.O.B. 388, Station 'W'
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
M6M 5C1

March 9th, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Thank you for your letter Grant, along with the accompanying photocopies. I have sent Mr. Crain a copy of the Swan Interview, and have also included a transcript, and a recent <<UFONET>> file listing of what's currently available on-line. Hopefully you can get the computer thing sorted out, and we can exchange files through this method. I'm in possession of a file from Bill Cooper which involves a lobby effort soon to be initiated. When Bill gives me the go-ahead I can make this available to yourself as well.

Regarding Robert Swan, word has it that he is no longer making himself "available" for calls, so its apparently now quite difficult to reach him. I have been unable to verify this however.

Regarding EAW, earlier this week I passed his name onto a few individuals, with the proviso that they keep it to themselves until the public release. They include, Bill Cooper, Larry Fenwick and Harry Tokarz. I told Larry that he was not to put this into his Bulletin, and he assured me that he would assent to such a request. Larry was speaking with Bill Moore subsequently (taped conversation), and mentioned the "old man" to him (without giving out his name). According to Larry (and which I will verify upon listening to the tape), Moore responded that "Walker" was basically a dead end, and that the guy doesn't know anything. Furthermore, he said that *he* was the one who passed on the name to Steinman, and that Steinman didn't give him credit for that, nor for a lot of the material of Moore's which Steinman used in "UFO Crash At Aztec". Well, I think I know what to make of all of Moore's ravings. I can't wait to see what you have on him. According to Larry, Moore may also be pulling out of the June MUFON due to John Lear's major involvement.

On one other matter, Steinman wrote Larry early this year, and Larry is going to be including the full letter in this next bulletin. I already have excerpts of it on-line here on my BBS, which basically have to deal with Steinman's religious views on the whole business, and some background on how he originally discovered the old man's identity (without giving out his name).

Hope your continuing research is going well Grant, and that you will be releasing it soon. As I have pulled out of ParaNet (for a variety of reasons), I am now running a 100% orientated Network

called <<UFONET>>. I hope to have nodes established all over the continent before long, so we'll see how things transpire. Talk with you soon.

Sincerely,


Tom Mickus

Voice: (416) 244-9619
Data: (416) 244-9999

***** [P to Pause - S to Stop] *****

News & Announcements: - March 21, 1989

- New Number!

Effective April 1st, 1989, the *new* number for
THE CRUCIBLE will be:

-----> 416-237-1204 <-----

My new voice number will be:

-----> 416-239-1094 <-----

- Please make a note of the new numbers, which will come into
effect at the beginning of next month. Thank You.

Press [Enter]...

SysOp Chat Mode Entered ...

Hello Grant, this is Tom Mickus .

Hi Grant...I see you finally got through!

Hi.Topm

I got your letter(\$@...and will have a disk shipped out tomorrow... I was a
away for the Easter holiday, so I couldn't do it sooner. That will be a muc
much faster (and cheaper way)...what format do you have ...720 or 360??

360

Okay good, Regarding Fenwick's speaking with Moore...the only way I could
get that is if he lent me his copy, and I either taped it myself or transcr
transcribeddit. If you like I can tryand do something like that...although
that...although it would probably take a little while....

OK. Do you have access to the Lear interview that was done last week.

Yes...that too...I could make copies I guess (assuming Larry would lend th
them out....). I'll look into that. Thanks for the updates you've been sen
sending me...including the "alien letter" reference. When do you anticipate
anticipate the "Final" draft...is your associateddown south finished his po
portion yet??

No. It will be longer, a new letter coming with another Walker signature.
Andrus wants us to speak. Long story,.. Can you givwee me a couple new file
files.

Bm...do you mean new files that I've received?? Or ones from the Library li
listing I sent you....

New ones from the library that I didn't ask for before.

Sure...just leave me "Email"Goa "Feedback"....and I'll make a notecof them and include them in the disk.

Good.

Okay...I'll let you go now....we'll keep in touch via the mails for compute computer when you have access)...any parts which are "eyes only" continue t to label as s~~u~~o~~b~~b However, if you feel ~~that~~ certain parts can be released, go ahead and mention those aswell (in your letters.). Talk to you soon, wi willlhave that disk out tomorrow..... Bye Grant

SysOp Chat Mode Ended ...

<<UFONET I>>

=====

THE CRUCIBLE <P. 1 Net P. 1> 416-244-9999 - 24Hrs - 12/24/96 *HST* (1:223/264)

=====

The following file contains selected excerpts from phone conversations I had with Robert Swan during the month of December. Bill Cooper both supplied me with his name, whereabouts, and "code" by which Robert Swan was to know that it was okay to divulge information to me regarding his knowledge of what Bill Cooper had discussed with him.

As Bill Cooper did not have Swan's phone number, I had to find that out for myself. Additionally, Bill has asked that I not print Swan's address, as he does not want this individual "harassed". For those who are serious about getting to the truth of the matter, they will know how to find this individual.

There will be no commentary from myself, as the file should speak for itself. Anything in [] brackets will represent clarification of speech where necessary. Although several calls were made to Robert Swan, I have only included the most revelant material from 2 of them here.

The purpose of my communicating with Robert Swan was to verify whether or not Bill Cooper had indeed shown him certain UFO-related documents (ie. Project Aquarius papers) back in 1972.

-Tom Mickus 1/22/89

Note: "....." indicates conversation not reproduced here.

Selected Excerpts from Phone Conversation (A) (WITHOUT KNOWING "CODE")

.....

<MICKUS> "Of course you don't know me. I've been speaking with Bill Cooper".

<SWAN> "Un-huh."

<MICKUS> "And you knew him from a while back?"

<SWAN> "Yes."

.....

<MICKUS> "There's one thing I wanted to ask you. Bill has decided to go public with all he knows, and has been getting from his sources; about what he knows about the U.S. gov't cover-up..."

<SWAN> "Un-huh."

<MICKUS> "...with UFOs, that type of thing..."

<SWAN> "Un-huh."

<MICKUS> "...and as one of the corroborating sources, he had mentioned your name to myself, and one other person as far as I know, about both of you seeing an Aquarius telex document back in '72. And I just wanted to find out, get confirmation from youf that that was true, that the content in there was what Bill has reproduced."

<SWAN> "You know I can't um...I can't verify that. I don't recall that."

<MICKUS> "So you don't remember ever seeing anything like that?"

<SWAN> "No. I may have. But off the top [of my head], you know thats been years and years. And I don't, I don't at the present time remember...you know if I remember the circumstances or something...if you could let me know what the circumstances were, then I could piece it back together. To all of a sudden to try and remember something like that... I mean, there's all kinds of discussions that we've had in the past, lengthy...and so on."

<MICKUS> "You mean related to this?"

<SWAN> "Ahh, well there was a lot of stuff just as far as the carriers [aircraft] and stuff like that. But..."

<MICKUS> "Just dealing with the military though?"

<SWAN> "Yeah. ...but not anything that... He was always very above-board with stuff. As far as classified stuff, when I was on the...I think he was on the Enterprise...I don't remember, but I was on board one time, and we were going through...he was showing me around and stuff, and there was areas that he had access to that naturally I wouldn't. I know his knowledge is definitely there."

<MICKUS> "So you can attest to that he has, or did have this, high security rating that would give him access to..."

<SWAN> "Oh yeah. Sh*t yeah."

<MICKUS> "...cause he's come out and said that he's got 4 different military intelligence sources."

<SWAN> "Right. Well he was in the Air Force, the Navy... anyway, right now I can't really recall the...if I remember the circumstances maybe I could piece it back together...I'll think about it...but as far as the UFO stuff, that...that was pretty much hearsay from what was going on on the carriers and stuff."

<MICKUS> "You mean from Bill, or from other people?"

<SWAN> "From Bill, yeah."

<MICKUS> "Okay, this is the one thing he mentioned. The year was 1972 and it was an Aquarius-telex. Now I imagine if you did see this thing, it would probably stick in your mind..."

<SWAN> "Yeah..."

<MICKUS> "you know, about exchange of technology between Alien groups, Project Plato, then there was a Project Sigma about establishing communications, Project Redlight to test fly Alien aircraft, then there was the Project Snowbird to cover that up, and that was about all that was in the Aquarius document."

<SWAN> "Yeah."

<MICKUS> "But that doesn't ring a bell?"

<SWAN> "No it doesn't."

.....

<MICKUS> "But you say it could be true though?"

<SWAN> "Oh yeah, it could be true, sure. He was really into that. You know, I mean as far as... yeah he was really interested in that kind of sh*t. But I don't recall seeing that. And like you said, if I had, it probably would stick in my mind. However, if I remember the circumstances, like I said, maybe I could piece it back together, but right now..."

<MICKUS> "About Bill's involvement, would you say that he's pretty level-headed about it? Was he talking about it all the time?"

<SWAN> "No, I'd say he was pretty level headed about it."

.....

<SWAN> "Well he certainly had access to a lot of information. I'm aware of that."

.....

<SWAN> "Basically what I had gathered from him is on the space shots and so on, from tracking and so on...the sightings that have been seen from astronauts in space, you know, communications on board...just the sightings and so on. And I think thats what got his interest going on the thing. Navigation, he was a navigator I believe, and so he had all that front-line communication stuff going."

<MICKUS> "I'm just curious, but is he bound by any...I know there are various regulations and this type of thing. But conceivably could he be in any hot water over..."

<SWAN> "I would say so. Right off the top [of my head]". He's no longer in the military is he?"

<MICKUS> "No..."

.....

=====

Selected Excerpts from Phone Conversation (B) (WITH "CODE" THIS TIME)

.....

<MICKUS> "The reason why Bill doesn't want you to get in touch with him in the immediate interim, is because he has to get independent verification that he did see these particular documents so many years ago. Now you personally haven't spoken with him, or corresponded for how long would you say?"

<SWAN> "Twelve, thirteen years."

.....

<MICKUS> "...the night before he was to get married, he apparently told you "on the eve of his wedding", that was to be the code or whatever,...that you originally weren't supposed to tell anyone about this because it was just confidential between both of you. But he was telling me about when he had his house up on Aeia Heights Dr....[Bill Said] " I lived only a few houses below him, it was at night, we had a few drinks, I showed him the documents and gave him a copy of it. And we talked for about an hour about it. I asked him to never to discuss it with anyone as it was Top Secret. And then we never discussed it again after that."

<SWAN> "Right."

<MICKUS> "So do you remember that?"

<SWAN> "I remember something to that effect, yup."

<MICKUS> "And he said in the document, the coversheet said Majesty, it had Sigma, Redlight, Snowbird, Luna, Delta, NRD, Pluto, Plato, Pounce and a description of the aliens and other info in it..."

.....

<SWAN> "There's been lots of discussion and stuff, but as far as anything other than like you just said...really minor momentarily talking about...that's all well and good. But as far as going into depth and knowing exactly what all that stuff is, I don't."

<MICKUS> "He said he did give you a copy of something."

<SWAN> "You know, I don't recall that. He possibly could have. The instance [of the meeting] I remember that occurring. But I don't remember him giving me a copy of anything because of his involvement with...he was with CINCPACFLT then, and I

don't really... He might have shown me that, but I don't... he never gave me a copy of it that I recall. If he had of, I would have definitely had it stashed some place, and probably would have lost it by now anyway."

<MICKUS> "But theoretically it could be buried away somewhere in your old records?"

<SWAN> "I've been through stuff over the last ten - fifteen years, and I haven't come across it. But there's been stuff that Bill's shown me on UFO stuff so..."

.....

<MICKUS> "...is there anything that you can specifically remember that can be shown... Basically what I'm trying to determine is: Yes, you did see this particular document. Now I want to know that besides the fact that: Yes, you and Bill were talking about the subject of...and..., this type of thing."

<SWAN> "We were talking about the subject of UFOs quite a bit, and his interest in them and all that. As far as all of the stuff that was Top Secret, whatever, there was stuff that he had told me and that he couldn't say anything about, and so... And as far as getting a copy of some document, I don't really recall that, I may have seen it...but... And that period right before his wedding and all that, I remember that quite well."

<MICKUS> "So if I take you back to that specific evening on Aeia Heights, and you are both talking over drinks, and Bill comes out and shows you this one set of documents. Do you not specifically recall that? Because that is important."

<SWAN> "I specifically recall him showing me something, but as far as him giving me a copy, I don't recall."

<MICKUS> "Well, forget about the copy part..."

<SWAN> "As far as the exact Project and all of that, I can't really...if there was something in court or something and they would say, 'positively do you remember reading blah blah blah', and honestly I cannot."

<MICKUS> "So how would you characterize those documents? If you were to put it into your own sentence as to what he showed you, what would you say?"

<SWAN> "Right off the top, 'He showed me some papers with a bunch of stuff on it.' But as far as what it was, as far as what the Projects and stuff that you were talking about...that doesn't ring a bell to me."

<MICKUS> "Like I said before, I don't want to involve you..."

<SWAN> "Hey I'll tell you something, if I remembered, and if I had a copy of it, I wouldn't hesitate to get involved. I could care less about...where a person hangs out. I'm not squeamish in any ways, about what you're talking about, all kinds of supernatural stuff. If I had some knowledge of it, and I saw it...hell yeah man, I would get right into it."

<MICKUS> "Actually, I was thinking more of the...you know theoretically what some intelligence service could do to a person who... I thought that maybe you might not want to talk for that reason."

<SWAN> "No no. After I talked with you the other time, I kinda gone back and re-lived conversations and stuff. As far as the contents of the document you are talking about... I know that we discussed a bunch of stuff as far as different things, but I don't know anything about the documents."

<MICKUS> "Do you remember discussing events connected with... Now, some of those Project names do they ring a bell at all? Or discussion of UFOs? Do you remember that as being central to what you were discussing, as opposed to just military..."

<SWAN> "Well, if I got into the particulars of the different operations or something, I would probably remember what was said. As far as the code names and all of that kind of stuff, they really don't mean anything to me. As far as some of the things that were going on, I recall discussions on that. Its trying to piece together stuff that far back, and at that point in time it was fascinating to what was going on, but I didn't have any extreme interest in it."

<MICKUS> "Would you say, thinking back to that time...that Yes, the impression left is that this stuff that you saw dealt with UFOs. Was that..."

<SWAN> "Oh yeah."

<MICKUS> "That's clear then, right?"

<SWAN> "Oh yeah."

<MICKUS> "You're just talking specifics now. And although you may not remember what was on the pages, whatever, can you think back in your mind, and actually see Bill passing something over for you to look at...or referring to something in front of him, specific documents."

<SWAN> "Yeah, I remember that. I remember that, but its like I said, as far as the contents and so on, that was just discussed. As far as a copy, I don't recall getting one."

.....